Knowledge Representation and Semantic Technologies

Exercises on Knowledge Representation

Riccardo Rosati

Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria Informatica Sapienza Università di Roma 2015/2016

Exercise 1

We want to formalize knowledge about the domain of students and professors.

In particular, we want to formalize the following statements:

Exercise 1 (contd.)

- 1. Every student is a person
- 2. Every professor is a person
- 3. Every student has an ID (matricola)
- 4. Every student attends at least one course
- 5. Every course is taught by a professor
- 6. Every student is not a professor
- 7. Every exam is constituted of a course, a student, a date and a grade

Exercise 1 (contd.)

- 1) Choose the most appropriate knowledge representation language for expressing the above knowledge among the following:
- ALC
- Datalog
- ASP
- OWL
- DL-Lite
- RDFS

2) Express the above knowledge in the formalism chosen at the previous point.

Exercise 1 - Solution

- 1) The formalism chosen is ALC, because:
 - According to statements 3, 4, 5, we need to refer to «existential» individuals (and Datalog and ASP are not suited for this)
 - According to statements 4,5, we need qualified existential restriction (DL-Lite is not suited for this)
 - According to statement 6, we need to express disjointness between concepts (RDFS is not able to express this)
 - ALC seems enough to express the seven statements, so we do not need the extra abilities of OWL

Exercise 1 - Solution

2) We choose the following vocabulary (set of predicates and individuals):

Concepts: STUDENT, PERSON, PROFESSOR, COURSE, GRADE, DATE

Roles: hasID, attends, isTaughtBy

Moreover, we have ro reify the notion of exam at point 7 (that would be naturally encoded by a relation of arity 4) using a concept EXAM and four auxiliary roles examStudent, examCourse, examDate, examGrade

Exercise 1 - Solution

2) Here is the formalization of the statements in ALC:

STUDENT \square PERSON PROFESSOR \square PERSON STUDENT $\Box \exists has ID.T$ STUDENT $\Box \exists$ attends.COURSE COURSE $\sqsubseteq \exists isTaughtBy.PROFESSOR$ STUDENT $\Box \neg$ PROFESSOR $EXAM \square \exists examCourse.COURSE$ $EXAM \square \exists examStudent.STUDENT$ $EXAM \square \exists examGrade.GRADE$ EXAM $\Box \exists examDate.DATE$

Exercise 1 - Comment

- Notice that statement 7 is problematic for ALC (and Description Logics in general), since DLs do not allow for expressing relations of arity greater than 2.
- However, the reification technique allows for representing (although unnaturally) these kinds of n-ary relations.
- Datalog and ASP allow for directly define a 4-ary exam relation, so, with respect to statement 7 alone, they should be preferred: however, they have more important limitations with respect to the other statements (in particular, both Datalog and ASP are not able to express statements 3,4,5; in addition, Datalog is not able to express statement 6)

Exercise 2

We want to formalize knowledge about the domain of students and professors.

In particular, we want to formalize the following statements:

Exercise 2 (contd.)

- 1. Every student is a person
- 2. Every professor is a person
- 3. Every student has an ID (matricola)
- 4. Every course is taught by a professor
- 5. Every student who attends a course is an active student
- 6. Every student is not a professor

Exercise 2 (contd.)

For each of the following description logics:

- DL-Lite_R
- EL
- RL

identify which of the above statements can be formalized, and write the corresponding axioms.