Knowledge representation and semantic technologies

prof. Riccardo Rosati corso di laurea magistrale in Ingegneria informatica Sapienza Università di Roma, 2015/2016

Exercise on Reasoning about Actions

(a) Axiomatize the following scenario, appropriately with action precondition and effect axioms, and obtain successor state axioms.

Fluents:

- doorOpen(s) The door is open in situation s.
- insideRoom(s) The robot is inside the room in situation s.

Actions:

- openDoor The robot opens the door. This can be done if the robot is not inside the room and the door is closed (that is, not open), and has the effect that the door will be open.
- closeDoor The robot closes the door. This can be done if the robot is inside the room and the door is open, and has the effect that the door will be closed.
- enter The robot enters the room. This requires that the door is open and the robot is not inside the room, and has the effect that the robot will be inside the room.

Initial situation description: Initially the robot is not inside the room and the door is closed (that is, not open).

- (b) Show, by applying regression, that the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor is executable in the initial situation.
- (c) Show, by applying regression, that the robot will be inside the room after the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor.

Solution (a)

Action precondition axioms:

 $Poss(\texttt{openDoor}, s) \equiv \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(s) \land \neg\texttt{doorOpen}(s)$ $Poss(\texttt{closeDoor}, s) \equiv \texttt{insideRoom}(s) \land \texttt{doorOpen}(s)$ $Poss(\texttt{enter}, s) \equiv \texttt{doorOpen}(s) \land \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(s)$

Effect axioms:

doorOpen(do(openDoor, s)) $\neg doorOpen(do(closeDoor, s))$ insideRoom(do(enter, s)) Effect axioms in normal form:

 $\begin{array}{l} (a = \texttt{openDoor}) \rightarrow \texttt{doorOpen}(do(a,s)) \\ (a = \texttt{closeDoor}) \rightarrow \neg\texttt{doorOpen}(do(a,s)) \\ (a = \texttt{enter}) \rightarrow \texttt{insideRoom}(do(a,s)) \\ false \rightarrow \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(do(a,s)) \end{array}$

Successor state axioms:

 $doorOpen(do(a, s)) \equiv (a = openDoor) \lor (doorOpen(s) \land (a \neq closeDoor))$ insideRoom(do(a, s)) \equiv (a = enter) \lor (insideRoom(s) \land true)

Initial situation description:

 \neg insideRoom (S_0) \neg doorOpen (S_0)

Solution (b)

To check the executability of the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor (legality task), we have to establish whether the following formula is a logical consequence of the action specification and the initial situation description:

> $Poss(openDoor, S_0) \land$ $Poss(enter, do(openDoor, S_0)) \land$ $Poss(closeDoor, do(enter, do(openDoor, S_0)))$

We now apply the regression operator to the above formula: from

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0) \land \\ Poss(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)) \land \\ Poss(\texttt{closeDoor}, do(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)))] \end{array}$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)] \tag{1}$$

 $\wedge \mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))]$ (2)

```
\wedge \mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{closeDoor}, do(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)))] (3)
```

First, observe that:

```
\mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)] = \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(S_0) \land \neg\texttt{doorOpen}(S_0)
```

and the above formula holds in the initial situation. So, formula (1) holds in the initial situation.

Then, we have that

```
\mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))] = \\\texttt{doorOpen}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)) \land \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))
```

and applying the regression operator to the above formula, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}[\texttt{doorOpen}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)) \land \neg\texttt{insideRoom}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))] = \mathcal{R}[\texttt{doorOpen}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))] \land \neg\mathcal{R}[\texttt{insideRoom}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))]$$

Now:

- *R*[doorOpen(do(openDoor, S₀))] = (openDoor = openDoor)∨(doorOpen(S₀)∧(openDoor ≠ closeDoor)), which is equivalent to true;
- $\mathcal{R}[\neg \texttt{insideRoom}(do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))] = \neg((\texttt{openDoor} = \texttt{enter}) \lor (\texttt{insideRoom}(S_0) \land true)),$ which is equivalent to $\neg \texttt{insideRoom}(S_0)$, which holds in the initial situation.

Therefore, formula (2) holds in the initial situation.

Finally, we consider formula (3):

 $\mathcal{R}[Poss(\texttt{closeDoor}, do(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)))] = \texttt{insideRoom}(do(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0))) \land \texttt{doorOpen}(do(\texttt{enter}, do(\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)))$

We now apply the regression operator to the above formula, obtaining:

 $\mathcal{R}[\texttt{insideRoom}(\textit{do}(\texttt{enter},\textit{do}(\texttt{openDoor},S_0)))] \land \mathcal{R}[\texttt{doorOpen}(\textit{do}(\texttt{enter},\textit{do}(\texttt{openDoor},S_0)))]$

Now:

- $\mathcal{R}[\text{insideRoom}(do(\text{enter}, do(\text{openDoor}, S_0)))] =$ (enter = enter) \lor (insideRoom(do(openDoor, S_0)) \land true), which is equivalent to true;
- $\mathcal{R}[\operatorname{doorOpen}(\operatorname{do}(\operatorname{enter}, \operatorname{do}(\operatorname{openDoor}, S_0)))] =$ (enter = openDoor) \lor (doorOpen(do(openDoor, S_0)) \land (enter \neq closeDoor)), and this formula is equivalent to doorOpen(do(openDoor, S_0)). We now apply regression to the last formula, obtaining $\mathcal{R}[\operatorname{doorOpen}(\operatorname{do}(\operatorname{openDoor}, S_0))] =$ (openDoor = openDoor) \lor (doorOpen(S_0) \land (openDoor \neq closeDoor)), which is equivalent to true.

Therefore, formula (3) holds in the initial situation.

Consequently, the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor is executable in the initial situation S_0 .

Solution (c)

Using the regression theorem, we now check that the robot will be inside the room after the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor (projection task).

We start from the formula

```
insideRoom((closeDoor, (enter, (openDoor, S_0))))
```

Applying the regression operator, we obtain

 $(closeDoor = enter) \lor (insideRoom((enter, (openDoor, S_0))) \land true)$

which is equivalent to

 $insideRoom((enter, (openDoor, S_0)))$

Applying the regression operator again, we obtain

 $(\texttt{enter} = \texttt{enter}) \lor (\texttt{insideRoom}((\texttt{openDoor}, S_0)) \land true)$

which is equivalent to *true*.

Therefore, the initial formula holds in the initial situation, i.e., the robot will be inside the room after the execution of the sequence of actions openDoor, enter, closeDoor in the initial situation S_0 .