Knowledge Representation and Semantic Technologies

# **Exercises on OWL 2 profiles**

Riccardo Rosati

Corso di Laurea Magistrale in Ingegneria Informatica Sapienza Università di Roma 2016/2017

## **Exercise 1**

Given the following TBox:

- (1) MALE  $\sqsubseteq$  PERSON
- (2) FEMALE  $\sqsubseteq$  PERSON
- (3) hasMother  $\sqsubseteq$  hasParent
- (4) has Father  $\sqsubseteq$  has Parent
- (5) hasChild  $\sqsubseteq$  hasParent<sup>-</sup>
- (6) MALE  $\sqcap$  FEMALE  $\sqsubseteq \bot$
- (7)  $\exists$ hasParent  $\sqsubseteq$  IS-CHILD
- (8) IS-CHILD  $\sqsubseteq \exists hasParent$
- (9)  $\exists$ hasParent.HAPPY  $\sqsubseteq$  HAPPY-CHILD
- (10)  $\exists$ hasChild.HAPPY  $\sqsubseteq$  HAPPY-PARENT
- (11) HAPPY-CHILD  $\sqsubseteq \exists hasParent$
- (12) HAPPY-PARENT  $\sqsubseteq$   $\exists$  hasChild
- (13) HAPPY-PARENT  $\sqcap$  HAPPY-CHILD  $\sqsubseteq$  HAPPY

## **Exercise 1**

(a) Tell which of these axioms can be expressed in  $DL-Lite_R$ , EL, and RL, respectively;

# **Exercise 1**

(b) given the following ABox:

MALE(Bob), MALE(Paul), FEMALE(Ann), hasMother(Paul,Ann), hasFather(Mary,Paul), hasChild(Jane,Paul), hasChild(Jane,Bob), HAPPY(Ann)

and the TBox obtained from the previous one by discarding the axioms not expressible in RL, determine the instances of the concept HAPPY by applying forward chaining;

(c) Given the above ABox and the TBox obtained from the previous one by discarding the axioms not expressible in  $DL\_Lite_R$ : (c1) determine the instances of the concept HAPPY by applying query rewriting; (c2) determine the instances of the query q(x) :- hasParent(x,y) by applying query rewriting.

#### **Exercise 1(a): Solution**

The axioms expressible in DL-Lite<sub>R</sub> are:

(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (11), (12)

Notice that axiom (6) can be expressed in DL-Lite<sub>R</sub> by the equivalent axiom MALE  $\sqsubseteq \neg$  FEMALE

The axioms expressible in EL are:

(1), (2), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13)

The axioms expressible in RL are:

(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (10), (13)

# **Exercise 1(b): Solution**

The ABox obtained by chasing the initial ABox with the RL axioms of the TBox is the following:

MALE(Bob), MALE(Paul), FEMALE(Ann), hasMother(Paul,Ann), hasFather(Mary,Paul), hasChild(Jane,Paul), hasChild(Jane,Bob), HAPPY(Ann), HAPPY(Mary),

PERSON(Bob), PERSON(Paul), PERSON(Ann), hasParent(Paul,Ann), hasParent(Mary,Paul), hasParent(Paul,Jane), hasParent(Bob,Jane), IS-CHILD(Paul), IS-CHILD(Mary), IS-CHILD(Jane), HAPPY-CHILD(Paul), HAPPY-PARENT(Paul), HAPPY(Paul), HAPPY-PARENT(Ann), HAPPY-CHILD(Mary), HAPPY-PARENT(Jane)

The instances of concept HAPPY are therefore {Ann, Mary, Paul}.

# **Exercise 1(c1): Solution**

The rewriting of the query

q(x) :- HAPPY(x)

w.r.t. the DL-LiteR axioms of the TBox is simply:

q(x) :- HAPPY(x)

since there are no subconcepts of HAPPY (notice that axiom (13) is not a DL-LiteR axiom, hence it is ignored).

By evaluating such a query on the initial ABox, we obtain the answers {Ann, Mary}.

# **Exercise 1(c2): Solution**

The rewriting of the query

q(x) := hasParent(x,y)

w.r.t. the DL-LiteR axioms of the TBox is simply:

q(x) := hasParent(x,y) q(x) := hasMother(x,y) q(x) := hasFather(x,y) q(x) := hasChild(y,x) q(x) := IS-CHILD(x)q(x) := HAPPY-CHILD(x)

By evaluating such a query on the initial ABox, we obtain the answers {Paul, Mary, Bob}.