

Semantic Web - 11/2/2011

COGNOME (last name):

NOME (first name):

MATRICOLA (ID):

Autorizzo la pubblicazione del mio voto di questo esame sul sito web <http://www.dis.uniroma1.it/~rosati/semanticweb>, secondo quanto prevede il decreto legislativo 196/2003 (codice in materia di protezione dei dati personali) che dichiaro di conoscere. In fede,

.....

Exercise 1

Describe the SPARQL language and explain its role in the Semantic Web.

Exercise 2

(a) Write an RDF/RDFS model representing the following statements about URIs `Person`, `Manager`, `Employee`, `isManagerOf`, `worksWith`, `Joe`, `Ann`, `Mary`, `Paul`:

1. `Person`, `Manager` and `Employee` are classes;
2. `Employee` is a subclass of `Person`;
3. `Manager` is a subclass of `Employee`;
4. `isManagerOf` and `worksWith` are properties;
5. `isManagerOf` is a subproperty of `worksWith`;
6. `isManagerOf` has domain `Manager` and range `Employee`;
7. `worksWith` has domain `Employee` and range `Employee`;
8. Paul is an employee;
9. Ann and Joe are managers;
10. Mary is the manager of Paul;
11. Joe works with Ann.

(b) Write SPARQL queries corresponding to the following requests: (b1) “return every employee”; (b2) “return every person that either works with Joe or is the manager of Paul”;

Exercise 3

Describe the difference between OWL-Lite and DL-Lite.

Exercise 4

Write an OWL ontology that formalizes knowledge about the domain of people, using the classes `Person`, `Man`, `Woman`, the properties `hasParent`, `hasMother`, `hasFather`, and the individuals `Lucy`, `Paul`, `Sally`. In particular, the ontology must formalize the following statements:

1. every man is a person;
2. every woman is a person;
3. man and woman are disjoint classes;
4. every person has a mother;
5. every person has a father;
6. every person has exactly two parents;
7. every person has a father, who is a man;
8. every person has a mother, who is a woman;
9. Lucy is a woman;
10. Sally is a woman;
11. Paul is a man;
12. Lucy has father Paul;
13. Paul has mother Sally.

Then, tell whether the resulting OWL ontology is redundant, i.e.: can some of the axioms constituting the ontology be deleted without changing the meaning of the ontology? if so, identify and list such axioms.